

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,017	10/625,017 07/23/2003		John David Heinzmann	1062/D20	8423	
2101	7590	08/15/2005		EXAMINER		
21101112		UNSTEIN LLP	HE, A	HE, AMY		
	MER STRI , MA 021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Boston	, 14111 021		2858			
•			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_ W				
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	()				
		10/625,017		HEINZMANN ET	AL.				
Office	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Amy He		2858	Idra - a				
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the	cover sneet with the c	orrespondence ac	iaress				
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTH: - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. as be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. S from the mailing date of this communication. specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayed in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing digustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ply within the statut d will apply and will te, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) day: expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status									
2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this a	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clain	าร								
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
10) The drawing Applicant m	cation is objected to by the Examing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 is/are: a ay not request that any objection to the orthogonal sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	a)⊠ accepted e drawing(s) be ection is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	18)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	⁻ O-152)				

Art Unit: 2858

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pugh (U. S. Patent No. 6, 486,626), in view of Liang et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 670,785).

Referring to claims 1-2, Pugh discloses a method for detecting an open winding condition in a motor, the method comprising:

- a. measuring a winding voltage(col. 2, lines 44-45);
- b. calculating a residue voltage (the resultant sum of subtracting the actual and expected voltage values, col. 3, lines 64-67) for the winding, the residue voltage equaling the difference between a measured voltage drop across the winding and a calculated voltage drop (nominal expected voltage, column 2, lines 47-48) for a non-open winding condition;
- c. comparing the residue voltage to a threshold value (predetermined amount/value, col. 2, lines 52-54; col. 3, lines 66-67);
- d. signaling (by generating an error signal, column 2, lines 52-53 and lines 64-67) when the residue voltage exceeds the threshold value, to declare an open winding condition.

Art Unit: 2858

Still referring to claims 1-2, Pugh does not specifically disclose measuring a winding current and a motor speed; and calculating the voltage drop as a function of the measured winding current and motor speed.

Liang et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 670, 785) discloses measuring a winding current (col. 4, lines 31-32) and a motor speed (col. 4, lines 29-31) and calculating a voltage drop (col. 2, lines 32-34) for a non-open winding condition as a function of the measured winding current and motor speed (col. 4, lines 27-49).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Pugh to measure a winding current and motor speed and calculated the expected voltage as a function of the motor speed and the winding current, as taught by Liang, for the purpose of obtaining an improved voltage drop calculation value using the measured motor speed and the winding current (col. 4, lines 20-49).

Referring to claims 3-5, Pugh in view of Liang discloses a method for detecting an open winding condition in a motor as in claims 1-2. Pugh in view of Liang does not specifically disclose duplicating the measuring and computing steps for a second stator winding in the motor; and comparing a residual voltage difference between the first and second residual voltages; and signaling when the residue difference exceeds the threshold value to declare an open winding condition.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious at the time the invention was made to further modify Pugh to disclose duplicating the measuring and computing steps for a second stator winding in the motor, since it has been held that mere

Art Unit: 2858

duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. See St Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. In addition, with the first and the second residue voltages available, a person of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to compare the residue voltage difference between the two residue voltages to the threshold value, in order to detect any discrepancy between the two residue voltages for signaling a fault in the winding of a dual-stator redundant motor.

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pugh (U. S. Patent No. 6, 486,626) in view of Liang et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 670,785), and

further in view of Dowling (U. S. Patent No. 6, 308,140).

Referring to claim 6, Pugh in view of Liang discloses the method of claim 3. Pugh in view of Liang does not specifically disclose compensating for measurement delay before calculating a residue voltage difference. Dowling discloses compensating for measurement delay (see step 70 in Figure 3). A person of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious at the time of the invention to further modify Pugh to compensate for measurement delay, as taught by Dowling, before calculating a residue voltage difference, for obtaining an improved winding voltage value.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2858

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy He whose telephone number is (571) 272-2230.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,017 Page 6

Art Unit: 2858

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AH \ August 10, 2005.

ANJAN DEB PRIMARY EXAMINER